

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 2286 of 1995

with

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 2284 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
SHANTILAL JIVRAM JOSHI

Versus

NATWARLAL BAPULAL JOSHI  
-----

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 2286 of 1995  
MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioner  
MR IM PANDYA for Respondent
  2. Civil Revision ApplicationNo 2284 of 1995  
MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioner  
MR IM PANDYA for Respondent
-

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 09/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. These two revision applications in two different suits, though the parties are common, are here in this court at the instance of the plaintiff. Both the courts have declined to grant interim relief as prayed for by the plaintiff-petitioner.

#. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the revenue proceedings, i.e. Revision Application pending before the Gujarat Revenue Tribunal, interse parties, interim relief has been granted in the form of maintaining status-quo. He submits that this court may give direction to the trial court to decide the suit expeditiously.

#. These suits are of the year 1994 and strictly not seven years' old but still it is expected of the learned trial court to dispose of the same expeditiously, say within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of writ of this order or certified copy thereof, whichever is earlier. Rule and civil revision applications stand disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

.....

[sunil]